



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/800,742

03/16/2004

Aaron Q. Johnson

27683-011

1162

29315

7590

10/20/2008

MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY AND POPEO PC

ATTN: PATENT INTAKE CUSTOMER NO. 29315

ONE FINANCIAL CENTER

BOSTON, MA 02111

EXAMINER

GOODCHILD, WILLIAM J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2445

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

10/20/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/800,742

Applicant(s)

JOHNSON ET AL.

Examiner

WILLIAM J. GOODCHILD

Art Unit

2445

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) WILLIAM J. GOODCHILD (Examiner).

(3) Brad Scheller (Applicant Rep).

(2) Brian Hopkins (Applicant Rep).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 October 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 18 and 19.

Identification of prior art discussed: Cohen et al., (US Patent No. 7,035,926).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: 1. Applicant explained 'virtual distance' of claims 1 and 18 - No agreement was reached. 2. Applicant explained claim 19, "filtered based on an affinity between data associated with the user and data associated with the other users" - No agreement was reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Jason D Cardone/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2445